

THE HONORABLE RONALD B. LEIGHTON

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

AARON WILLIAMS, on behalf of himself and
all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

vs.

PILLPACK LLC,

Defendant.

NO. 3:19-cv-05282-RBL

**AMENDED COMPLAINT—CLASS
ACTION**

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Aaron Williams, individually and on behalf of others similarly situated, alleges the following against Defendant PillPack LLC.

I. NATURE OF ACTION

1. On March 14, 2019 and April 10, 2019 Aaron Williams received a phone call on his cellular phone placed by or on behalf of PillPack LLC seeking to sell pharmacy refill services to him. PillPack LLC used an automatic telephone dialing system (“ATDS”) and an artificial or prerecorded voice to make these calls.

2. Aaron Williams has not been a PillPack LLC customer at any time, and Aaron Williams did not consent to receive calls from PillPack LLC or its agents. Aaron Williams’s

1 telephone number is listed on the Do Not Call registry maintained by the Federal Trade
2 Commission, and has been continuously listed there since October 5, 2010.

3 3. Plaintiff brings this class action for damages and other equitable and legal
4 remedies resulting from Defendant's violation of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act, 47
5 U.S.C. § 227, *et seq.* ("TCPA").

6 II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

7 4. This Court has original jurisdiction over Plaintiff's TCPA claims pursuant to 28
8 U.S.C. § 1331, because they present a federal question.

9 5. This Court has personal jurisdiction over PillPack LLC because it directed the
10 calls that are the subject of this action to Plaintiff's cellular phone. Plaintiff's cellular phone
11 uses a Washington area code and was, at all relevant times, located in Washington. PillPack
12 LLC has its headquarters in and continuously and systematically conducts business in
13 Washington, including within this District.

14 6. Venue is proper in this District under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) because a substantial
15 part of the events and omissions giving rise to Plaintiff's claims occurred in this District.

16 III. PARTIES

17 7. Plaintiff Aaron Williams resides in Tumwater, Washington.

18 8. Defendant PillPack LLC is a Delaware corporation with headquarters at 410
19 Terry Avenue North, Seattle, Washington, 98109-5210. Defendant's center of operations for its
20 pharmacy services business is located in Manchester, New Hampshire. The allegations in this
21 Complaint as to acts and omissions by Defendant PillPack LLC shall be construed as
22 allegations against the Defendant, whether such conduct was committed by Defendant directly,
23 or through its agents or contractors.

IV. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

A. Defendant Made Non-Emergency Calls to the Cellular Phones of Plaintiff and Other Consumers Without Their Prior Express Written Consent.

9. Plaintiff's telephone number, (XXX) XXX-1757, is assigned to a cellular telephone service. Plaintiff first acquired this cellular phone number prior to October 2010.

10. Plaintiff has not been a PillPack LLC customer or subscriber at any time and never consented to receive calls from PillPack LLC.

11. On March 14, 2019, PillPack LLC called Plaintiff's cellular phone from the telephone number (206) 237-4313.

12. On April 10, 2019, PillPack LLC again called Plaintiff's cellular phone, this time from phone number (206) 237-4323.

13. Both calls Plaintiff received from PillPack LLC began with a pause, followed by what appeared to be an artificial intelligence system using an interactive voice recording, which asked Plaintiff whether he gets prescriptions or words to the same effect.

14. During the March 14, 2019 telephone call, after Plaintiff indicated he did get prescriptions, he was transferred to a live pharmacy sales representative. When Plaintiff requested the name and address of the caller, he was transferred to the customer service department, where an individual confirmed the caller was PillPack Pharmacy in Manchester, New Hampshire.

15. During the April 10, 2019 call, after Plaintiff indicated that he did get prescriptions, the caller attempted to transfer him to a live pharmacy representative, but no one was available to take his call and so the call was terminated.

16. PillPack LLC has been sued for calling behavior similar to the behavior described in this complaint, specifically for sending text messages in violation of the TCPA.

17. PillPack LLC is aware of the TCPA's prohibitions against the use of automatic dialing systems and artificial or prerecorded voices to make calls to cellular phones without the prior express written consent of the called party. Defendant therefore knowingly or willfully

1 caused autodialed calls to be made to the cellular phones of Plaintiff and other consumers
2 without their prior express written consent.

3 **B. Defendant Used an ATDS or an Artificial or Pre-recorded Voice.**

4 18. Each time PillPack LLC called his cellular phone, PillPack LLC called Plaintiff
5 using an ATDS. Plaintiff noted a pause before being connected to the call, which is
6 characteristic of a call placed by an ATDS. Once he was connected, he interacted with an
7 artificial or recorded telephone system, which is characteristic of a call placed by an ATDS.

8 19. PillPack LLC called Plaintiff using a prerecorded or artificial voice, as
9 evidenced by the tone and cadence of the voice on the calls.

10 20. PillPack LLC is a wholly owned subsidiary of Amazon.com, Inc., which was
11 acquired in 2018 for an estimated \$1 billion. With approximately 40,000 customers as of 2017,
12 the scale of PillPack LLC's business requires that it and its agents use a sophisticated dialing
13 system capable of storing phone numbers and dialing them automatically, as well as delivering
14 messages without requiring the involvement of human agents.

15 21. The equipment used to call Plaintiff and others not only had the capacity to store
16 or produce telephone numbers to be called using a random or sequential number generator, but
17 was programmed to sequentially or randomly access stored telephone numbers to automatically
18 call such numbers for the calls that are the subject of this case. The equipment generated, and
19 then stored, a sequence of telephone numbers for calling, and then automatically called those
20 numbers. The calls were part of a campaign that made numerous phone calls in a short period
21 of time without human intervention.

22 **C. Defendant's Violations of the TCPA Injured Plaintiff.**

23 22. During the relevant period, Plaintiff has carried his cellular phone with him at
24 most times so that he can be available to family, friends, and his employer.

1 23. Defendant's calls invaded Plaintiff's privacy and intruded upon his right to
2 seclusion. The calls frustrated and upset Plaintiff by interrupting his daily life and wasting his
3 time.

4 24. Defendant's calls intruded upon and occupied the capacity of Plaintiff's cellular
5 phone and depleted the battery of Plaintiff's cellular phone. The calls temporarily seized and
6 trespassed upon Plaintiff's use of his cellular phone, and caused him to divert attention away
7 from other activities to address the calls.

8 **V. CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS**

9 25. Plaintiff brings this lawsuit under Federal Rules of Civil Procedure Rules 23(a),
10 (b)(2), and (b)(3) as a representative of the following class:

11 All persons or entities within the United States who received, on
12 or after April 12, 2015, a non-emergency telephone call from or on
13 behalf of PillPack, LLC, promoting goods or services:

14 (i) to a cellular telephone number through the use of an automatic
15 telephone dialing system or an artificial or prerecorded voice; or

16 (ii) to a cellular or residential telephone number that has been
17 registered on the national Do Not Call Registry for at least 31 days
18 and who received more than one such call within any twelve-
19 month period.

18 Plaintiff reserves the right to amend the class definition following an appropriate period of
19 discovery.

20 26. Excluded from the Class are Defendant, its employees, agents and assigns, and
21 any members of the judiciary to whom this case is assigned, their respective court staff, and
22 Plaintiff's counsel.

23 27. Because auto-dialing equipment maintains records of each contact, members of
24 the above-defined Class can be identified through Defendant's or its agents' records.
25
26
27

Numerosity

1
2 28. At the time of filing, Plaintiff does not know the exact number of Class
3 Members. But the number of PillPack LLC customers indicates that Class Members likely
4 number in the hundreds or thousands, and are geographically disbursed throughout the country.

5 29. The alleged size and geographic dispersal of the Class makes joinder of all Class
6 Members impracticable.

7 **Commonality and Predominance**

8 30. Common questions of law and fact exist with regard to each of the claims and
9 predominate over questions affecting only individual Class members. Questions common to the
10 Class include:

11 a. Whether Defendant’s dialing system(s) constitute an ATDS under the
12 TCPA;

13 b. Whether Defendant used an ATDS to place non-emergency calls to the
14 cellular telephones of Plaintiff and Class members without their prior express consent;

15 c. Whether Defendant used an artificial or prerecorded voice in connection
16 with the placement of non-emergency calls on the cellular telephones of Plaintiff and Class
17 members without their prior express consent;

18 d. Whether Defendant’s “Avatar” based system constitutes an artificial or
19 prerecorded voice;

20 e. Whether Defendant placed calls to numbers on the National Do Not Call
21 Registry;

22 f. Whether Defendant’s telephone calls were made knowingly or willfully;

23 g. Whether Plaintiff and Class members were injured by receiving such
24 calls; and

25 h. Whether Defendant should be enjoined from engaging in such conduct in
26 the future.

Typicality

1
2 31. Plaintiff’s claims are typical of the claims of the Class, in that Plaintiff, like all
3 Class Members, has been injured by Defendant’s uniform misconduct—the placement of calls
4 to cellular telephones for non-emergency purposes without the prior written express consent of
5 the called parties.

Adequacy of Representation

6
7 32. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Class and is
8 committed to the vigorous prosecution of this action. Plaintiff has retained counsel experienced
9 in class action litigation and matters involving TCPA violations.

Superiority

10
11 33. A class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient
12 adjudication of this controversy. Because the amount of each individual Class member’s claim
13 is small relative to the complexity of the litigation, and because of Defendant’s financial
14 resources, Class members are unlikely to pursue legal redress individually for the violations
15 detailed in this complaint. Class-wide damages are essential to induce Defendant to comply
16 with federal law. Individualized litigation would significantly increase the delay and expense to
17 all parties and to the Court and would create the potential for inconsistent and contradictory
18 rulings. By contrast, a class action presents fewer management difficulties, allows claims to be
19 heard which would otherwise go unheard because of the expense of bringing individual
20 lawsuits, and provides the benefits of adjudication, economies of scale, and comprehensive
21 supervision by a single court.

VI. FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

**Violation of § 227(b)(1) for calls made using
an ATDS or artificial/prerecorded voice**

22
23
24 34. Defendant violated 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1) by placing non-emergency calls,
25 either directly or through the actions of others, using an automatic telephone dialing system or
26
27

1 an artificial or prerecorded voice to cellular telephone numbers without the prior express
2 written consent of the called party.

3 **VII. SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

4 **Violation of § 227(c) for calls placed to numbers**
5 **listed on the Do Not Call Registry**

6 35. Defendant violated 47 U.S.C. § 227(c) by placing, either directly or through the
7 actions of others, more than one telephone solicitation call within a 12-month period to
8 telephone numbers that have been listed on the national Do Not Call Registry for at least 31
9 days.

10 **VIII. PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

11 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of the Class defined above,
12 respectfully requests that this Court:

13 A. Determine that the claims alleged herein may be maintained as a class action
14 under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23, and issue an order certifying the Class defined above
15 and appointing Plaintiff as the Class representative;

16 B. Award \$500 in statutory damages for each and every call that PillPack LLC
17 negligently placed in violation of 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1) of the TCPA;

18 C. Award \$1,500 in statutory damages for each and every call that PillPack LLC
19 willfully or knowingly placed in violation of 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1) of the TCPA;

20 D. Grant appropriate injunctive and declaratory relief, including, without limitation,
21 an order requiring Defendant to implement measures to stop future violations of the TCPA; and

22 E. Grant such further relief as the Court deems proper.

23 **IX. DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

24 Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury.
25
26
27

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED AND DATED this 8th day of May, 2019.

TERRELL MARSHALL LAW GROUP PLLC

By: /s/ Beth E. Terrell, WSBA #26759

Beth E. Terrell, WSBA #26759
Email: bterrell@terrellmarshall.com
Jennifer Rust Murray, WSBA #36983
Email: jmurray@terrellmarshall.com
Adrienne D. McEntee, WSBA #34061
Email: amcentee@terrellmarshall.com
936 North 34th Street, Suite 300
Seattle, Washington 98103-8869
Telephone: (206) 816-6603

Walter M. Smith, WSBA #46695
Email: walter@smithdietrich.com
Steve E. Dietrich, WSBA #21897
Email: steved@smithdietrich.com
SMITH & DIETRICH LAW OFFICES PLLC
400 Union Avenue SE, Suite 200
Olympia, Washington 98501
Telephone: (360) 918-7230

Counsel for Plaintiff and the Proposed Class

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

1
2 I, Beth E. Terrell, hereby certify that on May 8, 2019, I electronically filed the
3 foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of
4 such filing to the following:

5 No Appearances

6 *Attorneys for Defendant*

7 DATED this 8th day of May, 2019.

8
9 TERRELL MARSHALL LAW GROUP PLLC

10 By: /s/ Beth E. Terrell, WSBA #26759
11 Beth E. Terrell, WSBA #26759
12 Email: bterrell@terrellmarshall.com
13 936 North 34th Street, Suite 300
14 Seattle, Washington 98103
15 Telephone: (206) 816-6603
16 Facsimile: (206) 319-5450

17 *Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Proposed Class*